

COURSE SYLLABUS SME 0085

1. Information about the program

1.1 Higher Education Institution	Babeş-Bolyai University		
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of European Studies		
1.3 Department	International Relations and German Studies		
1.4 Field of study	International Relations and European Studies		
1.5 Study level	MA		
1.6 Programme of study/	Transatlantic Studies		
Qualification	European Comparative Political Studies		

2. Information about the discipline

2.1 Module	Comparative Politics and Institutions in Europe and North America					
2.2 Course hold	ourse holder Associate Professor PhD Habil. Valentin Naumescu					
2.3 Seminar hol	der		Associate Professor PhD Habil. Valentin Naumescu			
2.4 Year of study 1 2.5 Semester		1	2.6. Type of assessment ¹	VP, E	2.7 Type of module ²	ОВ

3. Total estimated time (teaching hours per semester)

3.1 No. of hours per week	3	3.1 of which for course	2	3.3 of which for seminar	1
3.4 Total no. of hours in the curriculum	42	3.5 of which for course	28	3.6 of which for seminar	14
Time distribution:					Hours
Study by using handbook, reader, bibliog	raphy	and course notes			10
Additional library/specialised online research, field research					10
Preparation of seminars/laboratories, homework, projects, portfolios and essays					10
Tutoring					10
Examinations					10
Other activities:					

3.7 Total no. of hours for individual study	30
3.8 Total no. of hours per semester	72
3.9 No. of ETCS credit points	6

¹ E - exam, ME - multi-term examinations, C - collocutional examination/assessment test

² OB - core module, OP - elective module, F - extracurricular module

4. Prerequisites (where applicable)

4.1 of curriculum	•	
4.2 of competencies	•	

5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1 For the development of	Video-projector
the course	
5.2 For the development of	Video-projector
the seminar/laboratory	Photocopies
	Access to internet

6. Specific skills acquired

Professional skills	 Basic concepts in Comparative Politics Introductive skills in professional careers related to public policy, government and administration Integrating specific knowledge in the larger paradigm of political science Critical, comparative and analytical skills related to different political contexts (e.g. SWOT analyses)
Interdisciplinary skills	 Understanding different contexts, political and social values, traditions, approaches and perspectives Assuming the cause-effect-solution mechanism of political thinking Focusing on comparative analyses Developing positive, creative thinking

7. Course objectives (based on list of acquired skills)

7.1 General objective	 Developing initial skills for understanding or starting a professional career in an environment related to public policies, government institutions and administration
7.2 Specific objectives	 Basic knowledge in the field of Comparative Politics Familiarising with comparative political analyses Developing a permanent interest for connecting with political agenda and reporting professional activities to a systemic understanding of politics, government and institutions

8. Contents

8.1 Lecture	Teaching methods	Observations
Basic concepts in comparative politics	Lecture, dialogue	

The functions of the political systems	Lecture, dialogue
The legislative branch	Lecture, dialogue
The executive branch	Lecture, dialogue
The judicial branch	Lecture, dialogue
Types of political systems: presidential,	Lecture, dialogue
semi-presidential and parliamentary	
Models of democracy: majoritarian and	Lecture, dialogue
consesus democracies	
Electoral systems	Lecture, dialogue
Party systems and types of coalitions	Lecture, dialogue
Centralized vs. federal systems	Lecture, dialogue
The principle of the separation of power	Lecture, dialogue
The relations between governments	Lecture, dialogue
branches in the United States (presidential	
regime)	
The relations between government	Lecture, dialogue
branches in the United Kingdom	
(parliamentary regime)	
The relations between government	Lecture, dialogue
branches in France and Romania (semi-	
presidential regime)	

Bibliography (selective):

- Naumescu, V., (2003), *Sisteme politice comparate*, Cluj-Napoca: Editura Fundaţiei pentru Studii Europene
- Lijphart, A., (2000), Modele ale democrației, Iași: Polirom
- Bale, T. (2008), European Politics: A Comparative Introduction, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan
- Almond, G., Powell, B., (1996), *Comparative Politics: A Theoretical Framework*, Second Edition, New York: Harper Collins
- Hague, R., Harrop, M., (2007), *Political Science: A Comparative Introduction*, 5th Edition, New York: Palgrave Macmillan

8.2 Seminar / Laboratory	Teaching methods	Observations
Political systems	Discussion,	
	text analysis	
Separation of power	Discussion,	
	text analysis	
Electoral systems	Discussion,	
	text analysis	
Party systems	Discussion,	
	text analysis	
Case study: the US political system	Discussion,	
	text analysis	
Case study: the UK and Canadian	Discussion,	
political systems	text analysis	
Case study: French and Romanian	Discussion,	
political systems	text analysis	

Bibliography (selective):

- O'Neil, P., Fields, K., Share, D., (2006), *Cases in Comparative Politics*, Second Edition, New York: W.W. Norton & Company
- Yves Mény, Andrew Knapp, (1998), Government and Politics in Western Europe, 3rd Edition, Oxford University Press
- Naumescu, V., (2010), *Politics and Government in Canada: An Introduction*, Toronto: Maple Red Publishing House

9. The correspondence between the content of the course and the expectations of the academic community, professional associations and representative employers in the field:

The module meets the criteria, updated standards in political science and necessities of the MA graduates to start a professional career within national or European administration, government institutions, political parties, NGOs or multinational companies working in different Western political environments, in Europe and North America.

10. Assessment

Type of activity	10.1 Assessment criteria	10.2 Assessment methods	10.3 Percentage		
			of the final grade		
10.4 Course/Lecture	Level of knowledge and	Oral examination	1-7 pts.		
	quality of presentation				
10.5 Seminar	The relevance of the	Discussion and essay	0-3 pts.		
	performed seminar activities				
10.6 Minimum standard of performance: 5 points in total (grade 5).					

Date	Course holder signature	Seminar holder signature
26 May 2016	Valentin Naumescu	Valentin Naumescu
Date of departmental approval	He	ead of department signature